IN THE UNITED STAT FOR THE WESTERN DISTR	TES DISTRICT COURT RICT OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA GENERAL ENERGY ) COMPANY, LLC, ) Plaintiff )	
v. )	CIVIL ACTION NO. 14-209 ERIE
GRANT TOWNSHIP, )  Defendant )	

## STATUS CONFERENCE

Proceedings held before the HONORABLE

SUSAN PARADISE BAXTER, U.S. Magistrate Judge,
in Judge's Chambers, U.S. Courthouse, Erie,

Pennsylvania, on Thursday, March 1, 2018.

Ronald J. Bench - Official Court Reporter

## PROCEEDINGS

2

3

4

1

(Whereupon, the proceedings began at 11:00 a.m., on Thursday, March 1, 2018, in Judge's Chambers.)

5

6

7

LAW CLERK: Good morning, this is Cynthia Sander in Judge Baxter's chambers.

8 l

MR. CORBELLI: Good morning, Cynthia.

9

LAW CLERK: Who do we have on the line?

10

11

MR. CORBELLI: This is Jim Corbelli and Kevin Garber is with me as well. I can name everybody, Cynthia, if that's

12

LAW CLERK: Sure.

14

13

MR. CORBELLI: Thomas Linzey, Bethann Lloyd,

LAW CLERK: Let me run through the names real quick.

15

Elizabeth Dunne, Lisa McManus and Kevin Moody, that's it.

16

Corbelli, Garber, Lloyd, Dunne, Linzey, McManus, Moody and

17

Hoffmann, is that right?

19

MR. CORBELLI: That's right, Cynthia.

20

LAW CLERK: Thank you.

21

MR. CORBELLI: Someone else just joined in, who

22

joined the call?

the easiest.

23

MS. HOFFMANN: This is Karen Hoffmann.

24

LAW CLERK: Okay. So, again, I'm just going to run

25

through these names real quick so we make sure the court

reporter has got everybody. Corbelli, Garber, Linzey, Lloyd, 1 Dunne, McManus, Moody and Hoffmann, is that right? 2 MR. CORBELLI: That's correct, Cynthia. 3 LAW CLERK: Thank you. I'll get the judge and then 4 5 we'll get started. THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. 6 ALL COUNSEL: Good morning, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: This is Civil Action 14-209 Erie, 8 Pennsylvania General Energy Company, LLC, versus Grant 9 .10 Township. In my chambers I have the court reporter and my staff attorney, Cynthia Sander, Esquire. On the line we have 11 attorneys Corbelli, Garber, Linzey, Lloyd, Dunne, McManus, 12 Moody and Hoffmann; is that correct? 13 MR. CORBELLI: Yes, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: All right. I called this because of, 15 obviously, the joint motion and attached order, which does many 16 things. It stays some things, it puts things in final order, 17 it vacates a trial. For the most part I was very happy with 18 the collaboration that produced it. But I cannot sign the 19 order as is, and so I thought we would go through some of it 20 and talk it over. And go through my problems with it and see 21 if we can't get them resolved, all right. 22 MR. CORBELLI: Very good. 23

THE COURT: First of all, since I'm going in order, I'm looking at the order, the proposed order and I'll go in

24

25

order. I was wondering rather than have the either/or in paragraph 1, may I just ask PGE, are you planning on filing a motion for attorney's fees?

MR. CORBELLI: Yes, we are, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay, we will change that to reflect that. Let's go to paragraph No. 6. This is my problem with No. 6. And I guess I'm speaking to PIOGA at this point, so I guess Mr. Moody --

MR. MOODY: Yes, your Honor.

with those two counts remaining. I will, however, if you don't withdraw those two counts or withdraw your motion for summary judgment and, therefore, I would ask Grant Township to withdraw the cross-motion. I will allow briefing on how those two counts, and any injunctive or declaratory relief as a case or controversy. I do not believe I have jurisdiction to decide those two counts, I believe that they're moot. Okay. We can talk about it now, you can argue about it some now. You may wish to talk to your client. I don't know how you want to do it, but I do not believe there's a case or controversy. I believe I've decided the petition clause. That which you complain of has already been replaced and held to be unconstitutional. There's no injunctive relief to be had --you see my problem?

MR. MOODY: Yes, your Honor.

1 THE COURT: It's asking for an advisory opinion that 2 I don't have jurisdiction to make. That's my view on it, I'm 3 willing to listen to your arguments, but that's my view. Go ahead. 4 5 MR. MOODY: Well, I'm not prepared to argue that 6 now, I would reserve -- make legal arguments in a filing. 7 THE COURT: All right. And you also would have to 8 talk to your client to see if they wish to go down that road. 9 All right. 10 MR. MOODY: Yes. Now, let's talk about the cross-motion. 11 THE COURT: 12 Would that be you, Mr. Linzey? 13 MR. LINZEY: That will be Ms. Dunne this morning. THE COURT: So, Ms. Dunne, I quess my point would 14 15 be, you'd have to do the same thing and you'd have to determine whether the cross-motions, if I successfully talk Mr. Moody and 16 17 his client into withdrawing those and joining them in paragraph 18 7, whether or not you would be willing to talk to your client 19 about continuing on with the cross-motion? 20 MS. DUNNE: Yes, your Honor, we would be --THE COURT: Because the cross-motion is somewhat 21 defensive in nature, as I recall? 22 23 MS. DUNNE: That's correct, yes. So that would be 24 fine with us. 25 THE COURT: All right. I also have to say that I

read it, Mr. Moody, I want you to keep this in mind. The decision on permissive joinder was very close with you guys. And it was permissive joinder, it wasn't joinder as a right, is my recollection. So I also looked upon it as -- what were the things that were separate that made the difference that allowed you to intervene. And are those reflected, beyond my having jurisdiction to decide it, are those reflected in the two counts that you wish to continue on. All right. So think about that as well. So it's not only the jurisdictional thing, if we get passed that, do I revoke permissive joinder, okay.

MR. MOODY: Yes.

THE COURT: And my powers to do that as well.

Before I get to the last item on my agenda, well,
let me just say I think we need to set up another call where I
can get an answer as to what you're going to do. Or I could
say to Mr. Moody and Ms. Dunne, until next Friday I'll give
you, whatever that is, eight days. I'll give you until next
Friday to determine how you're going to proceed on those,
whether or not you're going to file briefing that addresses my
concerns or whether you're going to withdraw those
cross-motions, okay.

MR. MOODY: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: You can do it by notice. So let's do it that way rather than making Ms. Dunne wake up early. Okay.

Now, those are my only problems with the order, I'm

very happy with all that you've decided.

Now, I'm going to an uncomfortable but necessary discussion. It's an unfortunate thing because I actually do believe very much in a right to petition and the right to complain. And I don't stand above that at all.

But, unfortunately, the U.S. Marshals have gotten involved because it is their charge to protect me and there have been some threats made by slow mail, some by voice messages left on my phone. And even though it's his right to request it and he will receive my financial disclosures forms for the years requested, that would be Mr. Orzetti from CACHE, the request also prompted a U.S. Marshal intervention, to make sure there was nothing security wise that would go out when those are released. Because of my sanctions decision, all of these things ensued. The Facebook and Twitter posts on CELDF or re-tweets by CELDF have included, oh I don't know, borderline threatening comments, the stuff that triggers the U.S. Marshal review. At the very least has charged that I am bought by corporate America, probably the gas and oil industry in particular, maybe PGE in particular.

That puts me in a position, that in particular, puts me in a position of being concerned that I should continue on the case. And also prompts me to ask whether or not I'm going to be brought to the Third Circuit Judicial Misconduct Board on those charges.

I can tell you that the financial disclosure forms will in fact show that for two years prior to this case being filed, my financial planner had some investment in Houston Gas and Oil. But when I took this case, I divested, at a great loss, those holdings. And now only in mutual funds so as not to have that problem again. So if that is going to be what you do, you have every right to do that, if that's what you believe, I will have to recuse.

Now, because you have all consented to a magistrate judge's jurisdiction, if I recuse and I choose to do that, or if such a petition is brought to the Misconduct Board, I will have to recuse, it will go to a magistrate judge either in Johnstown or in Pittsburgh because of the consent. So I want you all to discuss those things. And when we're off the phone today and let me know, I would just say by phone call to chambers, whether or not you are going to seek my recusal or if you are going to take me to the Judicial Misconduct Board for being I guess bought and paid for by corporate America, then I will recuse. And I just wanted you to know that it will go to a magistrate judge in this district, that's how it works.

So that's all on the record, I didn't go off the record. Whether or not I feel threatened, the U.S. Marshal things trigger their intervention and I just thought I would tell you that. All right. If there's nothing else from any other party -- anything from PGE?

```
10
                MR. CORBELLI: No, your Honor.
 1
                THE COURT: Anything from defendants?
 2
                MR. MOODY: No, your Honor.
 3
                MS. DUNNE: No, your Honor.
 4
                THE COURT: We're adjourned, thank you all.
 5
 6
                (Whereupon, at 11:15 a.m., the proceedings were
 7
     concluded.)
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## $\underline{C}$ $\underline{E}$ $\underline{R}$ $\underline{T}$ $\underline{I}$ $\underline{F}$ $\underline{I}$ $\underline{C}$ $\underline{A}$ $\underline{T}$ $\underline{E}$

I, Ronald J. Bench, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

14 Ronald J. Bench

5 |